

Determining $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ from $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-})$ measurements at e^+e^- colliders running on the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.

A. Drutskoy,
University of Cincinnati, US

Abstract

The feasibility of determining $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decay branching fraction measurements at e^+e^- colliders running on the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance is discussed. The expected accuracies of the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ branching fraction measurements and the $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ determination with 50 fb^{-1} of data at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ are estimated using Monte Carlo simulation. Comparison of that measurement with a directly measured $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ value within and beyond Standard Model is discussed. A new method of estimating $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ is proposed, based on comparing the CP -even and CP -odd components in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ decays. A comparison of B_s and B^0 lifetime measurements with theoretical predictions is discussed.

1 Introduction

The relation between the B_s lifetime difference and the sum of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ branching fractions has been discussed in several theoretical papers [1–4]. The B_s lifetime difference between the short-living and long-living components, $\Delta\Gamma_s$, originates from the $\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}$ difference in the CP eigenstate decay rates (see ref. [3] for details):

$$\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP} = \Gamma(B_s^{even}) - \Gamma(B_s^{odd}) \quad (1)$$

In the Standard Model (SM) the CP violating phase $\beta_s = \arg(V_{ts})$ of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix element V_{ts} is small. Therefore, the mass eigenstates coincide with the CP eigenstates. Potentially, Beyond Standard Model (BSM) physics processes could provide a large phase, contributing to $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing and resulting in a reduction of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ [2, 3]:

$$\frac{\Delta\Gamma_s}{\Gamma_s} = \frac{\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}}{\Gamma_s} \cos \phi \quad (2)$$

where $\phi = \arg(-M_{12}/\Gamma_{12})$ and M_{12} and Γ_{12} are the B_s mass matrix and decay matrix elements, respectively. Within the SM the phase ϕ is close to zero and a direct $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ measurement should coincide with $\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}/\Gamma_s$. Therefore, SM can be tested by comparing the $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ value obtained from a direct B_s lifetime measurement and $\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}/\Gamma_s$, which is determined from the difference between summed CP -even and CP -odd B_s decay branching fractions. The angle ϕ (more precisely, $\sin \phi$) can be measured also from a time-dependent analysis of tagged B_s events, similar to B^0 time-dependent analyses.

The $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays are expected to dominate the CP -eigenstate decays, because of large branching fractions, of the order of $(1 - 2)\%$ for each mode. Moreover, within the heavy quark limit, the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays are expected to be predominantly CP -even states [3]. Other B_s decays with fixed CP , like $J/\Psi\eta$, $\phi\phi$ or K^+K^- modes, have much smaller branching fractions of the order of 10^{-4} , and would lead to a few percent correction in $\Delta\Gamma_s$ calculations.

Following this approach, the approximate equality was obtained in ref. [3]:

$$2\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}) \approx \Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}/\Gamma_s \quad (3)$$

Generally, Eq.(3) was obtained under two assumptions: a) that $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{-}$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ decays are predominantly CP -even states (expected in the heavy quark limit) and b) that $\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}$ is saturated by the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays

(expected in the Shifman-Voloshin limit [5]). The last assumption means that the contribution of multi-body CP -eigenstate decay modes, like $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \pi^0$, to $\Gamma(B_s^{even})$ (or maybe to $\Gamma(B_s^{odd})$) is small compared to the dominant contribution of two-body $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays. The inaccuracy of these two assumptions is expected to be less than 10% [3].

2 MC simulation of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays

Exclusive $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays are not yet observed. Moreover, the D_s^* mesons cannot be effectively reconstructed in Tevatron experiments due to very low photon reconstruction efficiency. However, $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays can be effectively measured at e^+e^- colliders running on the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance. The CLEO collaboration has recently published the results of inclusive and exclusive analyses of 0.42 fb^{-1} of data [6, 7] which were collected at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance, and a rate of initially produced B_s mesons per 1 fb^{-1} was observed to be $(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^5$ [6]. A similar rate of $(0.99 \pm 0.26) \times 10^5$ B_s mesons per 1 fb^{-1} has been obtained by the Belle collaboration at $\Upsilon(5S)$ engineering runs, where a data sample of 1.86 fb^{-1} was collected [8].

We performed a full MC simulation of the Belle detector [9] for the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ decays and obtained an efficiency of $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ decays, $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-$ (or $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^{*-}$) decays, and $\sim 0.51 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ decays. Assuming $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-) \approx 1\%$, $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-) = \mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^{*-}) \approx 2\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}) \approx 3\%$, we expect to reconstruct about one event in each decay mode with an integrated luminosity of $\sim 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. Finally, a 25% accuracy of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ branching fraction measurement can be obtained with an integrated luminosity of 50 fb^{-1} , which can be collected during 6-7 weeks of data taking by the Belle detector running at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ with the current luminosity of the KEKB accelerator $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{34} \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ [10].

Additionally, the accuracy of the assumptions used to obtain Eq. 3 can be checked from $\Upsilon(5S)$ data. The $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ decay angular distributions can be studied to test the CP -even component domination assumption. At e^+e^- collider experiments the relative contribution of multi-body $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \pi^0$ decays or high-lying $D_{s,J}$ final state $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s,J}^-$ decays can be estimated searching directly for these decay modes or other similar final states.

3 Experimental data and estimates of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$

The direct measurements and indirect estimates of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ are reviewed in this section.

3.1 Direct measurements of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$

As noted above, the SM can be tested comparing the sum of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ branching fractions with a direct lifetime measurement of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$. Generally, SM predictions of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ do not exceed 0.2, with a most reliable mean value $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s = 0.12 \pm 0.06$ [3, 11, 12]. Recently $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s = 0.65^{+0.25}_{-0.33} \pm 0.01$ [13] and $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s = 0.24^{+0.28}_{-0.38}(\text{stat})^{+0.03}_{-0.04}(\text{syst})$ [14] have been measured in the $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decays by the CDF and D0 collaborations, respectively. While these results are inconclusive due to very large statistical uncertainties, the data samples collected by CDF and D0 are rapidly increasing and a much better accuracy can be reached soon.

3.2 Polarization in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decays

Here we would like to propose a new model-dependent method to obtain a $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ upper limit. This method is based on comparing the CP -even and CP -odd components in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decays. In the limit of flavor SU(3) symmetry, the ratio of the relative components in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ is expected to be the same as those at proper time $t = 0$ in the decays $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ [15]. Integrating over corresponding exponential lifetime functions, the relative CP -even and CP -odd components should be corrected by factors $1/\Gamma_s^{(+)}$ and $1/\Gamma_s^{(-)}$, respectively. Then, the time integrated CP -odd component in the $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decay can be related to those in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ decay:

$$R_{\perp}(J/\Psi\phi) \approx \frac{R_{\perp}(J/\Psi K^{*0})}{1 - (1 - R_{\perp}(J/\Psi K^{*0}) \times \frac{\Delta\Gamma_s}{\Gamma_s})} \approx \frac{0.195}{1 - 0.805 \times \frac{\Delta\Gamma_s}{\Gamma_s}} \quad (4)$$

where the Belle collaboration measurement of the CP -odd component $R_{\perp} = |A_{\perp}|^2 = 0.195 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.008$ in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ decay [16] is used. Recently the CDF collaboration has measured $|A_{\perp}| = 0.354 \pm 0.098 \pm 0.003$ in the $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decay [13], which corresponds to $R_{\perp} = 0.125 \pm 0.069 \pm 0.002$. The D0 collaboration has also measured the CP -odd component to be $R_{\perp} = 0.16 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst})$ in the $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decay [14]. Combining the CDF and D0 measurements, the weighted value of CP -odd component is $R_{\perp}(J/\Psi\phi) = 0.136 \pm 0.057$.

Table 1: The experimental measurements and a prediction obtained from Eq. 4 of the CP -odd component in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ decays.

Decay mode	measurement/ prediction	CP -odd component rate
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$	BELLE	$0.195 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.008$
$B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$	CDF+D0	0.136 ± 0.057
$B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$	Eq. (4), $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s = 0.12$	0.216

As we can see from Table 1, in contrast to the estimate obtained from Eq. (4), $R_\perp(J/\Psi \phi)$ is smaller than $R_\perp(J/\Psi K^*)$, although the statistical errors are too large for definite conclusions. Nonetheless an upper limit for $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ can be obtained. Using the weighted value of $R_\perp(J/\Psi \phi)$, an upper limit $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s < 0.08$ at 90% CL is set from Eq. (4), where CP -violating effects are assumed to be small. Although additional systematic uncertainties can increase the upper limit to ~ 0.11 , this upper limit is smaller than the $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ values obtained in direct measurements. Note also that BSM CP -violating effects in B_s decays can potentially decrease $R_\perp(J/\Psi \phi)$ and the upper limit will weaken. Finally, within the Standard Model, the obtained upper limit rules out the large values of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ reported, with large uncertainties, by the CDF and D0 experiments.

3.3 Comparison of B^0 and B_s lifetimes

Another method estimating of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ was proposed in ref. [17]. This method is based on the prediction that $\bar{\tau}(B_s) \approx \tau(B^0)$ with $\pm 1\%$ accuracy [18–21], where $\bar{\tau}(B_s)$ is a mean over long-living and short-living components, $\bar{\tau}(B_s) = 1/(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_S)$. Assuming a two exponential decay rate for untagged B_s decays, $\Gamma(B_s^{un}) = A \exp(-\Gamma_L t) + B \exp(-\Gamma_S t)$, and equal amplitudes ($A = B$) for any flavor-specific B_s lifetime measurement, the mean lifetime measurement in any flavor-specific decay mode gives a larger value than $\bar{\tau}(B_s)$:

$$\bar{\tau}_{fs} = \bar{\tau}(B_s) \frac{1 + (\Delta\Gamma_s/2\Gamma_s)^2}{1 - (\Delta\Gamma_s/2\Gamma_s)^2} \approx \bar{\tau}(B_s) [1 + 2(\Delta\Gamma_s/2\Gamma_s)^2] \quad (5)$$

As noted in [17] the excess of the flavor-specific B_s lifetime over the B^0 lifetime could provide some estimate of the $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$. However, flavor-specific lifetime measurements give a lifetime value smaller than the B^0 lifetime [22] (Table 2).

In contrast to expectations, the experimentally measured value is $\bar{\tau}(B_s)/\tau(B^0) = 0.914 \pm 0.030$ [22], that is 2.9σ below unity.

Table 2: Summary of lifetimes of B^0 and B_s mesons [22].

b -hadron	Measured lifetime
B^0	1.527 ± 0.008 ps
B_s ($\rightarrow$ flavor specific)	1.454 ± 0.040 ps
B_s ($\rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$)	1.404 ± 0.066 ps
B_s ($1/\Gamma_s$)	$1.396^{+0.044}_{-0.046}$ ps

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+}D_s^{(*)-}$ decay branching fraction can be measured with 25% accuracy using a 50 fb^{-1} data sample collected at an e^+e^- collider experiment running on the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance. From this measurement, $\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}/\Gamma_s$ can be obtained with 25% accuracy. Comparing this value with those obtained in direct $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ measurements can provide an important test of the Standard Model.

Two methods can be used to estimate $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$, the comparison of polarization in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$ decays and the comparison of B^0 and B_s lifetimes. In a model-dependent way, we obtain from the polarization method the upper limit $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s < 0.11$ at 90% CL . This upper limit is below $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ central values measured (with large uncertainties) in the Tevatron experiments. An even stronger upper limit can be obtained from the lifetime method, assuming equality of the B_s and B^0 lifetimes.

Both polarization and lifetime methods appear to disagree with expectations, although the experimental uncertainties are still too large for definite conclusions. Assuming that theoretical models are correct, low values of $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s$ are favored, with a only few percent probability to obtain $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s > 0$. An excess of CP -even decays due to $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+}D_s^{(*)-}$ modes cannot explain these discrepancies. Additional theoretical studies are required to explain the experimental data.

The measurements at e^+e^- collider experiments running on the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance can help to shed light on these discrepancies between experimental measurements of B_s decays and theoretical estimates. The $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+}D_s^{(*)-}$ decay

measurements are very important to understand B_s behaviors. Potentially, direct lifetime measurements of flavor-specific and CP -specific B_s decays (maybe with a partial B_s reconstruction) at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ can be also performed.

References

- [1] R. Aleksan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B316**, 567 (1993).
- [2] Yu. Grossman, Phys. Lett. **B380**, 99 (1996).
- [3] I. Dunietz, R. Fleischer, U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 114015 (2001).
- [4] K. Anikeev *et al.*, Workshop Report, hep-ph/0201071.
- [5] M. A. Shifman and M. B. Voloshin, Yad. Fiz. **47**, 801 (1988); Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **47**, 511 (1988).
- [6] CLEO Collaboration, M. Artuso *et al.*, hep-ex/0508047.
- [7] CLEO Collaboration, G. Bonvicini *et al.*, hep-ex/0510034.
- [8] A. Drutskoy (for Belle Collaboration), talk on Rencontres de Moriond EW conference, La Thuile, 2006.
- [9] Belle Collaboration, A. Abashian *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **479**, 117 (2002).
- [10] S. Kurokawa and E. Kikutani, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **499**, 1 (2003).
- [11] A. Lenz, invited talk at Flavor Physics & CP Violation, 2004, Daegu, Korea, hep-ph/0412007.
- [12] M. Ciuchini *et al.*, JHEP **0308**, 031 (2003).
- [13] CDF Collaboration, D. Acosta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 101803 (2005).
- [14] D0 Collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 171801 (2005).
- [15] A. S. Dighe *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B369**, 144 (1996).
- [16] Belle Collaboration, R. Itoh, Y. Onuki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 091601 (2005).
- [17] K. Hartkorn and H.-G. Moser, Eur. Phys. **C8**, 381 (1999).

- [18] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla and I. Dunietz, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 4419 (1996).
- [19] Y. Keum and U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 4282 (1998).
- [20] F. Gabbiani, A. Onischenko and A. Petrov, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 094031 (2004).
- [21] E. Franco, V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, C. Tarantino, Nucl. Phys. **B633**, 212 (2002).
- [22] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, E. Barberio *et al.*, hep-ex/0603003.